

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 12 2005

Attorney's Docket 071419-0272813
Client Reference: VI/96-068.RE.C

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re PATENT APPLICATION of:
ARTHUR E UBER III ET AL.

Confirmation No: 2112

Application No.: 09/545,582

Group Art Unit: 3737

Filed: April 7, 2000

Examiner: Ruth S. Smith

Title: PATIENT INFUSION SYSTEM FOR USE WITH MRI

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION
UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8

I hereby certify that the following papers are being facsimile
transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office at (703) 872-9306 on the date
shown below:

Second Supplemental Amendment (Third Revision)

PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP



JEFFREY D. KARCESKI
Reg. No. 35914

Date: January 12, 2005
P.O. Box 10500
McLean, VA 22102
Telephone: (703) 905-2000
Facsimile: (703) 905-2500

(Certification of Facsimile Transmission—page 1)

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 12 2005

REISSUE LITIGATION**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Continuation Reissue)	
Patent Application of:)	Confirmation No. 2112
)	
Uber, III et al.)	Group Art Unit: 3737
)	
Appln. No.: 09/545582)	Examiner: R. Smith
)	
Filed: April 7, 2000)	
)	
Title: PATIENT INFUSION SYSTEM)	
FOR USE WITH MRI)	

* * * * *

January 12, 2005**SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT**
(THIRD REVISION)

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

On January 11, 2005, the undersigned received a telephone call from Examiner Smith regarding the above captioned patent application. Examiner Smith informed the undersigned that the underlining and bracketing provided in the Second Supplemental Amendment (Second Revision), which was submitted to the Office on October 1, 2004, did not comply with 37 C.F.R. § 1.173. In particular, Examiner Smith indicated that all changes to the claims and to the specification must be identified in each amendment and that the underlining and bracketing must compare with the originally-issued patent, not with the previous amendment. Accordingly, by this submission, the undersigned has altered the presentation of the claims to comply with the Examiner's request. In addition, the undersigned has reincorporated the changes made to the specification so that those changes are properly presented. The

30505134v1

Uber, III et al. — Serial No. 09/545582 — Atty. Dkt. No. 071419/0272813

remainder of this submission has not been altered from the Second Revision submitted on October 1, 2004.

As a supplement to the Supplemental Amendment filed on May 13, 2002, in the above-captioned patent application, in response to the paper mailed on September 7, 2004, and in response to the telephone conversation with Examiner Smith on January 11, 2005, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner consider the following amendments and new claims, all of which were previously submitted. This Second Supplemental Amendment (Third Revision) is being submitted before the issuance of a second office action in the above-captioned patent application.